Exhibit NN

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Civ. No. 04-3749 (JAP)

(Consolidated Cases)

Hon. Joel A. Pisano

ORIGINAL

IN RE ROYAL DUTCH/SHELL

TRANSPORT SECURITIES

LITIGATION

Volume III

Videotaped Deposition of Walter van de Vijver

Washington, D.C.

Friday, February 2, 2007

9:39 a.m.

Job No. 22-94164

Pages 454 - 577, Volume III

Reported by: Paula G. Satkin

Page 455 1 Videotaped Deposition of 2 Walter van de Vijver 3 4 Held at the offices of: 5 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP 6 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, Northwest 7 Washington, D.C. 20036-1564 8 (202) 202-887-4000 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Taken pursuant to notice, before Paula 21 G. Satkin, Registered Professional Reporter 22 And Notary Public in and for the District of 23 Columbia. 24 25

APPEARANCES

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

ON BEHALF OF LEAD PLAINTIFF IN THE CLASS:

JEFFREY HABER, ESQUIRE

ALLEN HOBBS, ESQUIRE

BERNSTEIN, LIEBHARD & LIFSHITZ, LLP

10 East 40th Street

New York, New York 10016

Telephone: (212)779-1414

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

9

ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:

JOHN M. DOWD, ESQUIRE

JEFFREY M. KING, ESQUIRE

CHRISTOPHER T. SCHULTEN, ESQUIRE

ROBERT H. HOTZ, JR., ESQUIRE

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036-1564

(202) 887-4000

20

21

22

23

24

Page 457 1 ON BEHALF OF ROYAL DUTCH/SHELL: 2 RALPH C. FERRARA, ESQUIRE 3 LEBOEUF, LAMB, GREENE & MACRAE, LLP 1875 Connecticut Avenue, Northwest 5 Suite 1200 6 Washington, D.C. 20009 7 Telephone: (202) 986-8000 8 9 ON BEHALF OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS: 10 DEREK ADLER, ESQUIRE 11 HUGHES, HUBBARD & REED, LLP 12 One Battery Park Plaza 13 New York, New York 10004-1482 14 Telephone: (212)837-6086 15 16 ON BEHALF OF KPMG ACCOUNTANTS N.V.: 17 NICHOLAS W.C. CORSON, ESQUIRE 18 HOGAN & HARTSON, LLP 19 875 Third Avenue 20 New York, New York 10022 21 Telephone: (212) 918-3000 22 23 24 25

```
Page 458
1
     ON BEHALF OF JUDITH BOYNTON:
 2
               NANCY SENNETT, ESQUIRE
 3
               DANIEL CORDIS, ESQUIRE
 4
               FOLEY & LARDNER, LLP
 5
               777 East Wisconsin Avenue
 6
               Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-5306
 7
               Telephone: (414)271-2400
 8
 9
      ON BEHALF OF SIR PHILIP WATTS:
10
               JOSEPH I. GOLDSTEIN, ESQUIRE
11
               ADRIAEN M. MORSE, JR., ESQUIRE
12
               THOMAS J. HERSHENSON, ESQUIRE
13
               MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW, LLP
14
               1909 K Street, Northwest
15
               Washington, D.C. 20006-1101
16
               Telephone: (202)263-3000
17
18
     ALSO ON BEHALF OF ROYAL DUTCH/SHELL:
19
               EARL D. WEED, ESQUIRE
20
               SENIOR LITIGATION COUNSEL
21
               SHELL OIL COMPANY, Litigation Dept.
22
               910 Louisiana, OSP 4836
23
               Houston, Texas 77001
24
               Telephone: (713)241-5195
25
```

Page 459 1 ALSO ON BEHALF OF SHELL: 2 JONATHAN R. TUTTLE, ESQUIRE 3 DAVID C. WARE, ESQUIRE 4 DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON, LLP 5 555 13th Street, Northwest 6 Washington, D.C. 20004 7 Telephone: (202)383-8000 8 9 ON BEHALF OF OPTED-OUT PLAINTIFFS: 10 CHRISTINE MACKINTOSH, ESQUIRE 11 GRANT & EISENHOFER 12 1201 N. Market 13 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 14 Telephone: (302)622-7081 15 16 Also present: 17 Cali Day, Videographer 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

		Page 460
1	EXAMINATION INDEX	
2		
3	EXAMINATION BY MR. HABER461	
4	EXAMINATION BY MR. FERRARA558	
5		
6	AFTERNOON SESSION558	
7		
8	EXHIBITS	
9	VAN DE VIJVER PAGE	
10	Number 36464	
11	Number 37475	
12	Number 38479	
13	Number 39499	
14	Number 40505	
15	Number 41520	
16	Number 42526	
17	Number 43526	
18	Number 44534	
19	Number 45552	
20	Number 46555	
21		
22		
23	-	
24		
25		

Page 461 1 WALTER VAN DE VIJVER 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins 5 tape number one, Volume III, in the deposition 6 of Walter van de Vijver, in the matter of Royal 7 Dutch/Shell Transport Securities Litigation, in 8 the United States District Court, District of 9 New Jersey. 10 Today's date is February 2nd, 11 2007. The time is 9:39 a.m. 12 I would like to remind the witness 13 he is still sworn in from yesterday. 14 Whereupon--15 WALTER VAN DE VIJVER 16 a witness, called for examination, having 17 previously been duly sworn, was examined and 18 testified further as follows: 19 20 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR 21 PLAINTIFFS -- RESUMED 22 23 BY MR. HABER: 24 Q. Good morning, Mr. Van de Vijver. 25 Α. Good morning.

WALTER VAN DE VIJVER

- Q. I want to start today just with a follow-up from a document that we talked about yesterday. So if you could pull out Exhibit 32?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, yesterday you testified that with regard to the e-mail from John Pay with the attachment of the Barendregt reports that was the first time you• had received those unsatisfactory reports; correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If you look at the dates between -- you can turn to Exhibits 33 and 34.

If you look at the dates of the notes. One, the SPDC audit is dated

September 30 and the other PDO audit report

is -- the draft note is dated November 3. And

you received these audit reports on November 17?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever ask your staff why there was a delay in getting you these reports?
- A. No, I didn't. But, of course, you also have to see it, I guess in the context that on the Oman one I just had visited Oman so I knew. I think that was the weekend before I

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

WALTER VAN DE VIJVER

went to Oman and I knew there was an unsatisfactory audit and I used my visit to Oman to actually sit down with people in Oman, not about audit report, but about the results of the city work.

And in the case of Nigeria I knew that work was ongoing on trying to get to grips with the numbers. I think it was mentioned one of the -- somewhere the end of October it was mentioned that EP ExCom, that they were working with Anton Barendregt and other people on the numbers in Nigeria.

So I felt reasonably up-to-date, but indeed I hadn't seen the actual reports until I received them on the 17th of November.

- Q. In your answer you said while you were in Oman you would learn that Mr. Barendregt had given the unsatisfactory report to PDO. How is it that you learned that?
- A. I had some briefing notes for my visit to Oman. And normally when I would visit places staff would prepare visit notes and there was a reference to that extent in my prereading material that I would read in the plane going up

WALTER VAN DE VIJVER

2 to Oman.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. You can put those aside now.
- A. I assume there's a visit note on the Oman visit, by the way.
 - Q. Okay.

(Van de Vijver Exhibit Number 36 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. HABER:

Q. Mr. Van de Vijver, I'm handing you what we just marked as van de Vijver Exhibit 35.

MR. DOWD: No. I think we already

have a 35. It should be 36.

MR. HABER: You're right. It should be 36.

BY MR. HABER:

position.

Q. Now I'm handing you what's just been marked as van de Vijver 36. It's an e-mail with an attachment. The e-mail is from Frank Coopman, it is dated December 2, 2003. It's sent to John Bell, Matthias Bichsel, and John Darley with a cc to John Pay. The subject line is proved reserves. The attachment is called script for Walter on the proved reserves

The Bates number is RJW00780060

WALTER VAN DE VIJVER

2 | through RJW00780063.

Have you seen this document

4 before?

1

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, not this particular e-mail.

The attachment I've seen, but not this

particular cover e-mail.

- Q. Okay. The attachment is what I would like to talk to you about.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. What is your understanding of what this document called the script for Walter is?
- A. As we discussed yesterday, I asked Frank Coopman after I had seen the audit report for Oman. And as you also saw on the e-mail we looked at yesterday to get me the necessary material to go to CMD and Conference on our reserves position.

By that time personally, at least, having come to the conclusion that there were going to be major debookings, but of course the right people need to get involved in the process.

 $\label{eq:coopman} I \ \text{had a meeting with Frank Coopman}$ on it just before I went for travels again. I

WALTER VAN DE VIJVER

think it was the 27th of November, where he also advised me he would go and talk to Judy Boynton the next day.

Then I left to Russia where that was involving a business transaction in Moscow.

I got a call when I was in Moscow from Frank telling me he was sending me a note to look at. And he also said he would mail it at my home address, thinking that I would arrive home that night, but events changed and I ended up, we're now talking December 1st, very late in the evening actually traveling from Moscow back to London.

I'm just trying to give you a little bit of context.

- Q. And the document that you were referring to when you said yesterday, is that Exhibit 32 where you instructed Mr. Coopman to take some action?
 - A. 32, right?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Yes. So I arrived very late in that evening in London in the apartment, company apartment I had there. And early in the morning

WALTER VAN DE VIJVER

I got a phone call from Frank advising me that Judy was very unhappy with the document.

I then decided that rather than go directly to my meeting in Weybridge, that southwest of London, that I would quickly hop into the office in London and to actually go in my e-mail and look at the document.

During my movement to the London office I also got a call from Judy Boynton, where she expressed extreme unhappiness about what Frank had done and that it needed to be taken off the table. And to the best of my recollection she used the word, destroyed.

Either after -- I also got a call from my advisor assistant Nicola Dahlin, who mentioned that Judy was desperately looking for me.

So anyway, so I moved to the office, clearly with the feedback from Judy still ringing in my ears, and not knowing exactly what Frank had done or not done.

So I quickly scanned at the document and indeed was shocked, given that it was in my perspective a very conclusive document

WALTER VAN DE VIJVER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I considered the work, first of all not complete yet because we were still working the numbers.

For instance, in the script I think it still allowed Gorgon to be carried going forward.

And, also, I recognized that ultimately this is not my decision, I can't do this, this needs to involve Group Finance, Group Audit Committee, External Audit, et cetera, before we would be able to have something so conclusive that needs to be announced to the market with immediate effect.

Sorry for a bit long-winded story, but I just wanted to get --

- Q. First of all, do you know who Mr. Coopman had distributed the script to?
- Α. To me and Judy Boynton, as far as I know, knew at that time. Clearly I see here that it was sent to some others as well.
- Q. Did you ever talk to Phil Watts about the script?
 - Α. No.
 - Q. And as far as you know he didn't