June 18, 1999

[1]	Q: That is not the kind of thing you would do anyway, is	[1] MR HOBBS: Yes.
[2]	it? You would go to a meeting and you would know what	[2] MR JUSTICE LADDIE: We will leave it like that. I would
[3]	it was you wanted to talk about. You would not, as it	[3] like to see leading counsel in my room, please.
[4]	were, simply switch to another important topic on the	µ (4.15 pm)
[5]	spur of the moment without having discussed it with	[5] (The court adjourned until 10.30 am
[6]	John Donovan first, would you?	[6] on Monday 21st June 1999)
[7]	A: I have been known to.	N
[8]	Q: But on this occasion it did not happen, did it?	[8]
[8]	A: No.	[9]
[10]	Q: Right, Let us close up.	[1g
[11]	MR COX: I wonder if my learned friend could put to him the	[11]
[12]	corollary of that question.	[12]
[13]	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Let him do it his own way. If, at the	[13]
[14]	end of the day, he has not done it properly, Mr Cox -	[14]
[15]	MR COX: I beg your Lordship's pardon. I am forgetting your	្រែឡ
[16]	Lordship is in charge. It is not a jury.	[16]
[17]	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: It feels like it. But it is not. At	[17]
[18]	the end, Mr Cox, if you think something has not been put	[18]
[19]	fairly, you just remind me.	[19]
[20]	MR HOBBS: I am about to move to another topic and I know	[20]
[21]	I will not finish it in five minutes.	[21]
[22]	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Let us not start it then. Just remind	[22]
~′ <sub>⊷</sub> 3]	me, before we rise, the letters you were putting to	[23]
+]	Mr Donovan in cross-examination, the 24th July letters,	[24]
[25]	those are letters which you told me were not to be found	[25]
	Page 197	Page 199
A		
		I .

```
[1] in Shell's discovery?
                                                                             MR JOHN DONOVAN (continued)
                                                                       [1]
      MR HOBBS: Before I say "Yes, that is correct" -
                                                                             Cross-examination by MR HOBBS (continued) 1
[2]
                                                                       [2]
      MR JUSTICE LADDIE: 449 and 446.
                                                                       [3] Re-examination by MR COX 96
[3]
      MR HOBBS: Yes, they were sent to us with the letter before
                                                                        4 MR ROGER GEOFFREY SOTHERTON (sworn) 125
[4]
[5] action, my Lord. One of them was. Otherwise -
                                                                        [5] Examination-in-chief by MR COX 125
      MR JUSTICE LADDIE: They are not to be found in -
[6]
                                                                       [6] Cross-examination by MR HOBBS 126
      MR HOBBS: No.
[7]
                                                                       [7]
      MR JUSTICE LADDIE: And you have made your position clear to
                                                                       [8]
 n Mr Donovan. The document which contains Concept Four,
                                                                       [9]
[10] is that one which was found in Shell's discovery?
                                                                      [10]
      MR HOBBS: I am told that it is only the one that was sent
                                                                      [11]
[12] to us with the letter before action as well.
                                                                      [12]
      MR COX: My Lord, I have checked this, I see Mr Joseph has
                                                                       [13]
[14] just given instructions. If it assists, I hope it is
                                                                       [14]
[15] right that our position is the only documents of Concept
                                                                       [15]
[16] Four, the letter of 24th July, the letter of 20th July,
                                                                       [16]
[17] have been ones that we, in the past, have supplied to
                                                                       [17]
[18] Shell's solicitors. Therefore, there are no documents
                                                                       [18]
[19] coming from Shell delineating or relevant to this
                                                                       [19]
[20] concept.
                                                                       [20]
      MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Does that include 981? Can you just
[21]
                                                                      (21)
[22] look at 981? Is 981 a document which was -
                                                                       [22]
      MR COX: That I have not checked. Concept Four I have.
[23]
                                                                      [23]
      MR HOBBS: 981, the letter I am told was in our discovery.
[24]
                                                                      [24]
      MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Fine. That is all I wanted.
                                                                       [25]
                                                                                                                                  Page 200
                                                           Page 198
```

Min-U-Script®