

FT Home > UK

Unignorable thesis

By Louise Lucas
Published: February 12 2010 02:00 | Last updated: February 12 2010 02:00

What to make of the "peaceful corporate revolution" being urged on Royal Dutch Shell, where nameless, ostensible employees are taking it to task over activities in Nigeria?

Big companies, particularly those dredging the heavily geopolitical waters of oil, inevitably come under attack. When you produce something that generates big money, often in countries where poverty is rife and human rights abuses abound, there is plenty of scope for criticism. There is also plenty of scope for confusion as to what an oil giant can and cannot do: insisting that a government's oil revenues are funnelled into hospitals and schools is laudable, but presidents of countries are free to think otherwise.

EDITOR'S CHOICE

Shell staff details leaked to campaign groups - Feb-12 Total and Statoil struggle to grow - Feb-11 Energy sector shows M&A surge in 2009 - Feb-11 Shell to cut jobs and refining as profits fall - Feb-04 Shell in \$12bn Brazilian ethanol partnership - Feb-01 Shell makes good in biofuels promises - Feb-01

In many ways, Shell has got off lightly. These are not a whistleblower's revelations - regardless of what the writers say, the grievances have all been rehearsed before. The self-styled dissidents, assuming they are genuine employees, are supposedly 100-odd strong, hardly enough to launch even a gentle rearguard action, and intent on protecting their anonymity.

Amateurism hangs over the campaign. The list of employees which has been leaked is, Shell says, six months out of date. Guesstimates made in the 36,000-word manifesto are as pointless as they are painful: "Almost all (99.5%) of common & low-level Shell employees" are unaware of Shell's behaviour in Nigeria as are "possibly all (100%) of Shell's institutional investors". It is sometimes repetitive and ungrammatical and occasionally more earnest than coherent - not dissimilar to an undergraduate thesis.

That said, big business can no more ignore the hippies, whether within its ranks or not, than it can shrug off Hollywood (for whom corporate malfeasance has provided a rich seam - from Erin Brockovich to The Constant Gardner). Slow-burning protests do sometimes assume a life of their own: in China, PetroChina's flotation was dogged by its activities in Sudan. That is why Shell cannot ignore the claims, however flaky they may appear

louise.lucas@ft.com

andrew.hill@ft.com

To comment, visit www.ft.com/lombard

Print article Email article Order reprints

Copyright The Financial Times Limited 2010. You may share using our article tools. Please don't cut articles from FT.com and redistribute by email or post to the web

Twitter	Digg	LinkedIn	Yahoo! Buzz	Delicious	
reddit	Mixx	Facebook	stumbleupon	Viadeo	



LATEST HEADLINES FROM CNN

Indonesia corruption woes rise Canadians try to guess who will light Olympic flame Judge vet to rule on Americans in Haiti Bound, gagged women among bodies found in Afghanistan Backyard inventions struggle to permeate India's markets More

Jobs	Business for sale	Contracts & tenders	
SEARCH	Enter keywords	Go)	

Head of Economic Policy Team Office of Fair Trading

Head of Asset Management (Electrification and Plant) **Network Rail**

Business Development Director

Head Of Finance

Blue Chip Retailer

RECRUITERS

FT.com can deliver talented individuals across all industries Post a job now

RELATED SERVICES

FT Lexicon MBA-Direct.com FT Bespoke Forums FT Newspaper subscriptions Annual reports FT Diaries Market research FT Bookshop FT Conferences Growth companies Corporate subscriptions FT Syndication services Luxury Travel brochures The Non-Executive Director Analyst Research

FT Home Site map Contact us Help

Advertise with the FT Media centre FT Newspaper subscriptions FT Conferences FT Syndication Corporate subscriptions FT Group Careers at the FT Partner sites: Chinese FT.com The Mergermarket Group Investors Chronicle Exec-Appointments.com Money Media The Banker fDi Intelligence MBA-Direct.com The Non-Executive Director © Copyright The Financial Times Ltd 2010. "FT" and "Financial Times" are trademarks of The Financial Times Ltd. Privacy policy Terms

1 of 1 12/02/2010 15:25