Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF 02 February 2007 09:33 'John Donovan'

Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Donovan

In matters of security, it is sensible neither to confirm nor deny material of this sort, as you well know. The decision as to whether to publish, and what comment you make on it, is, as ever, yours. But neither you nor your readers should draw conclusions one way or the other from our not commenting.

# Regards

ſο:

Richard Wiseman

I: +44 20 7934 5544 Fax: +44 20 7021 3023 Mobile: +44 7785 381779

Shell International Limited Registered in England and Wales Registered Office, Shell Centre, London SE1 7NA Company number 3075807

> -----Original Message-----From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net] Sent: 02 February 2007 09:29 To: Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Wiseman

If someone was trying to perpetrate a hoax, I feel confident that you would have said so, as it would not entail revealing anything which would compromise your Business Continuity Plans, which of course every major oil company has, bearing in mind that oil company HQ offices qualify as strategic targets.

It would be possible to discuss the impact on Shell employees and their families as raised in the insider email without revealing any details whatsoever of your plans. Shell has chosen not to do so.

We will leave it to our readers to draw their own conclusions.

Yours sincerely John

From: richard.wiseman@shell.com [mailto:richard.wiseman@shell.com] Sent: 02 February 2007 08:59 To: john@shellnews.net Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Donovan

# - AND - CALLER AND

For the reasons I set out in my previous email, I can't say whether the information is true or not.

Regards

Richard Wiseman

General Counsel M & A and Project Finance Tel: +44 20 7934 5544 Fax: +44 20 7021 3023 Mobile: +44 7785 381779

Shell International Limited Registered in England and Wales Registered Office, Shell Centre, London SE1 7NA Company number 3075807

> -----Original Message-----From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net] Sent: 02 February 2007 08:54 To: Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Wiseman

I take it from your response that this information is genuine (not a hoax) and will publish an article on that basis. It will not reveal the address in Texas or mention of Walton-on-Thames, as this would not be appropriate.

I appreciate you taking the trouble to reply.

Regards John

From: richard.wiseman@shell.com [mailto:richard.wiseman@shell.com] Sent: 02 February 2007 08:42 To: john@shellnews.net Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Donovan

I have discussed this with those concerned. You will appreciate that some of the circumstances in which our Business Continuity Plans might be brought into operation could involve criminal or even terrorist activity directed against us. It would be inappropriate therefore to engage in a public dialogue about them.

Regards

**Richard Wiseman** 

General Counsel M & A and Project Finance Tel: +44 20 7934 5544 Fax: +44 20 7021 3023 Mobile: +44 7785 381779 Shell International Limited Registered in England and Wales Registered Office, Shell Centre, London SE1 7NA Company number 3075807

> -----Original Message-----From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net] Sent: 01 February 2007 09:23 To: Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF Subject: Allegations by Shell Insiders

### Dear Mr Wiseman

I am sorry to be a nuisance by contacting you when this matter does not fall within your area of responsibility, but I do not know who is the appropriate person at Shell to deal with this matter.

The following are extracts from an email received today from a Shell insider. It seems proper under the circumstances to give Shell the opportunity to confirm or deny any of the information/allegations stated below. I would therefore be grateful if you would kindly pass the information to the appropriate person at Shell.

If you supply us with a contact for use in future correspondence, then we will not contact you again. I am sure the thought of no more correspondence from the Donovan's will be pleasing.

Kind regards John

Shell's disregard for personal safety .

Shell's management is following a flawed plan and disregarding its own safety policies. They have abused the trust given to them by their employees. Specifically, some of Shell's divisions have created a "business continuity management" office which purports to ensure the continuation of Shell's business.

While obviously important, Shell believes it can only achieve this goal by placing its employees and families in danger. Shell has established "emergency office locations" at remote regions (one at 1313 Research Parkway, Number 200, College Station, Texas 77845) and at a suburb of London (Walton-on-the-Thames); both of these locations are considered far away from Shell's downtown headquarters.

During a stated emergency, employees are required to abandon their families and place themselves at risk by a forced attendance to these remote offices. The offices cost hundreds of thousands of dollars to maintain and have been proven as a failure during several real crisis. Yet Shell's management refuses to change plans.

Some of us have tried to protest these policies but have also watched as dissenters have been dealt with sternly. We cannot stand idly by while our safety is so carelessly disregarded.

From: John Donovan [john@shellnews.net]

Sent: 02 February 2007 09:29

To: Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF

Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Wiseman

If someone was trying to perpetrate a hoax, I feel confident that you would have said so, as it would not entail revealing anything which would compromise your Business Continuity Plans, which of course every major oil company has, bearing in mind that oil company HQ offices qualify as strategic targets.

It would be possible to discuss the impact on Shell employees and their families as raised in the insider email without revealing any details whatsoever of your plans. Shell has chosen not to do so.

We will leave it to our readers to draw their own conclusions.

→ours sincerely

đ

From: richard.wiseman@shell.com [mailto:richard.wiseman@shell.com] Sent: 02 February 2007 08:59 To: john@shellnews.net

Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Donovan

For the reasons I set out in my previous email, I can't say whether the information is true or not.

Regards

Richard Wiseman

Shell International Limited Registered in England and Wales Registered Office, Shell Centre, London SE1 7NA Company number 3075807

> -----Original Message-----From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net] Sent: 02 February 2007 08:54 To: Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Wiseman

I take it from your response that this information is genuine (not a hoax) and will publish an article on that

### tariher and set of the set of the

Page 2 of 3

basis. It will not reveal the address in Texas or mention of Walton-on-Thames, as this would not be appropriate.

I appreciate you taking the trouble to reply.

Regards John

From: richard.wiseman@shell.com [mailto:richard.wiseman@shell.com]
Sent: 02 February 2007 08:42
To: john@shellnews.net
Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Donovan

I have discussed this with those concerned. You will appreciate that some of the circumstance which our Business Continuity Plans might be brought into operation could involve criminal or ever terrorist activity directed against us. It would be inappropriate therefore to engage in a public dialogue about them.

Regards

**Richard Wiseman** 

General Counsel M & A and Project Finance Tel: +44 20 7934 5544 Fax: +44 20 7021 3023 Mobile: +44 7785 381779

Shell International Limited Registered in England and Wales Registered Office, Shell Centre, London SE1 7NA Company number 3075807

> -----Original Message-----From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net] Sent: 01 February 2007 09:23 To: Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF Subject: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Wiseman

I am sorry to be a nuisance by contacting you when this matter does not fall within your area of responsibility, but I do not know who is the appropriate person at Shell to deal with this matter.

The following are extracts from an email received today from a Shell insider. It seems proper under the circumstances to give Shell the opportunity to confirm or deny any of the information/allegations stated below. I would therefore be grateful if you would kindly pass the information to the appropriate person at Shell.

If you supply us with a contact for use in future correspondence, then we will not contact you again. I am sure the thought of no more correspondence from the Donovan's will be pleasing.

Kind regards John

### Shell's disregard for personal safety

Shell's management is following a flawed plan and disregarding its own safety policies. They have abused the trust given to them by their employees. Specifically, some of Shell's divisions have created a "business continuity management" office which purports to ensure the continuation of Shell's business.

While obviously important, Shell believes it can only achieve this goal by placing its employees and families in danger. Shell has established "emergency office locations" at remote regions (one at 1313 Research Parkway, Number 200, College Station, Texas 77845) and at a suburb of London (Walton-on-the-Thames); both of these locations are considered far away from Shell's downtown headquarters.

During a stated emergency, employees are required to abandon their families and place themselves at risk by a forced attendance to these remote offices. The offices cost hundreds of thousands of dollars to maintain and have been proven as a failure during several real crisis. Yet Shell's management refuses to change plans.

Some of us have tried to protest these policies but have also watched as dissenters have been dealt with sternly. We cannot stand idly by while our safety is so carelessly disregarded.

From: Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF

Sent: 02 February 2007 08:59

To: 'John Donovan'

Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Donovan

For the reasons I set out in my previous email, I can't say whether the information is true or not.

Regards

**Richard Wiseman** 

General Counsel M & A and Project Finance Tel: +44 20 7934 5544 Fax: +44 20 7021 3023 Mobile: +44 7785 381779

Cell International Limited Registered in England and Wales Registered Office, Shell Centre, London SE1 7NA Company number 3075807

> -----Original Message-----From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net] Sent: 02 February 2007 08:54 To: Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Wiseman

I take it from your response that this information is genuine (not a hoax) and will publish an article on that basis. It will not reveal the address in Texas or mention of Walton-on-Thames, as this would not be appropriate.

I appreciate you taking the trouble to reply.

Regards John

From: richard.wiseman@shell.com [mailto:richard.wiseman@shell.com] Sent: 02 February 2007 08:42 To: john@shellnews.net Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Donovan

I have discussed this with those concerned. You will appreciate that some of the circumstances in which our Business Continuity Plans might be brought into operation could involve criminal or even

#### Page 2 of 2

terrorist activity directed against us. It would be inappropriate therefore to engage in a public dialogue about them.

Regards

Richard Wiseman

General Counsel M & A and Project Finance Tel: +44 20 7934 5544 Fax: +44 20 7021 3023 Mobile: +44 7785 381779

Shell International Limited Registered in England and Wales Registered Office, Shell Centre, London SE1 7NA Company number 3075807

> -----Original Message-----From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net] Sent: 01 February 2007 09:23 To: Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF Subject: Allegations by Shell Insiders

# Dear Mr Wiseman

I am sorry to be a nuisance by contacting you when this matter does not fall within your area of responsibility, but I do not know who is the appropriate person at Shell to deal with this matter.

The following are extracts from an email received today from a Shell insider. It seems proper under the circumstances to give Shell the opportunity to confirm or deny any of the information/allegations stated below. I would therefore be grateful if you would kindly pass the information to the appropriate person at Shell.

If you supply us with a contact for use in future correspondence, then we will not contact you again. I am sure the thought of no more correspondence from the Donovan's will be pleasing.

Kind regards John

#### Shell's disregard for personal safety

Shell's management is following a flawed plan and disregarding its own safety policies. They have abused the trust given to them by their employees. Specifically, some of Shell's divisions have created a "business continuity management" office which purports to ensure the continuation of Shell's business.

While obviously important, Shell believes it can only achieve this goal by placing its employees and families in danger. Shell has established "emergency office locations" at remote regions (one at 1313 Research Parkway, Number 200, College Station, Texas 77845) and at a suburb of London (Walton-on-the-Thames); both of these locations are considered far away from Shell's downtown headquarters.

During a stated emergency, employees are required to abandon their families and place themselves at risk by a forced attendance to these remote offices. The offices cost hundreds of thousands of dollars to maintain and have been proven as a failure during several real crisis. Yet Shell's management refuses to change plans.

Some of us have tried to protest these policies but have also watched as dissenters have been dealt with sternly. We cannot stand idly by while our safety is so carelessly disregarded.

From: John Donovan [john@shellnews.net]

Sent: 02 February 2007 08:54

To: Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF

Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Wiseman

I take it from your response that this information is genuine (not a hoax) and will publish an article on that basis. It will not reveal the address in Texas or mention of Walton-on-Thames, as this would not be appropriate.

I appreciate you taking the trouble to reply.

Regards John

m: richard.wiseman@shell.com [mailto:richard.wiseman@shell.com]
 ant: 02 February 2007 08:42
 To: john@shellnews.net
 Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Donovan

I have discussed this with those concerned. You will appreciate that some of the circumstances in which our Business Continuity Plans might be brought into operation could involve criminal or even terrorist activity directed against us. It would be inappropriate therefore to engage in a public dialogue about them.

Regards

**Richard Wiseman** 

neral Counsel M & A and Project Finance a el: +44 20 7934 5544 Fax: +44 20 7021 3023 Mobile: +44 7785 381779

Shell International Limited Registered in England and Wales Registered Office, Shell Centre, London SE1 7NA Company number 3075807

> -----Original Message-----From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net] Sent: 01 February 2007 09:23

**To:** Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF **Subject:** Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Wiseman

### Page 2 of 2

I am sorry to be a nuisance by contacting you when this matter does not fall within your area of responsibility, but I do not know who is the appropriate person at Shell to deal with this matter.

The following are extracts from an email received today from a Shell insider. It seems proper under the circumstances to give Shell the opportunity to confirm or deny any of the information/allegations stated below. I would therefore be grateful if you would kindly pass the information to the appropriate person at Shell.

If you supply us with a contact for use in future correspondence, then we will not contact you again. I am sure the thought of no more correspondence from the Donovan's will be pleasing.

Kind regards John

# Shell's disregard for personal safety

Shell's management is following a flawed plan and disregarding its own safety policies. They have abused the trust given to them by their employees. Specifically, some of Shell's divisions have created a "business continuity management" office which purports to ensure the continuation of Shell's business.

While obviously important, Shell believes it can only achieve this goal by placing its employees and families in danger. Shell has established "emergency office locations" at remote regions (one at 1313 Research Parkway, Number 200, College Station, Texas 77845) and at a suburb of London (Walton-on-the-Thames); both of these locations are considered far away from Shell's downtown headquarters.

During a stated emergency, employees are required to abandon their families and place themselves at risk by a forced attendance to these remote offices. The offices cost hundreds of thousands of dollars to maintain and have been proven as a failure during several real crisis. Yet Shell's management refuses to change plans.

Some of us have tried to protest these policies but have also watched as dissenters have been dealt with sternly. We cannot stand idly by while our safety is so carelessly disregarded.

6/03/2007

From:Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPFSent:02 February 2007 08:42To:'John Donovan'

Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Donovan

I have discussed this with those concerned. You will appreciate that some of the circumstances in which our Business Continuity Plans might be brought into operation could involve criminal or even terrorist activity directed against us. It would be inappropriate therefore to engage in a public dialogue about them.

Regards

**Pichard Wiseman** 

eneral Counsel M & A and Project Finance Tel: +44 20 7934 5544 Fax: +44 20 7021 3023 Mobile: +44 7785 381779

Shell International Limited Registered in England and Wales Registered Office, Shell Centre, London SE1 7NA Company number 3075807

> -----Original Message-----From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net] Sent: 01 February 2007 09:23 To: Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF Subject: Allegations by Shell Insiders

### Dear Mr Wiseman

I am sorry to be a nuisance by contacting you when this matter does not fall within your area of responsibility, but I do not know who is the appropriate person at Shell to deal with this matter.

The following are extracts from an email received today from a Shell insider. It seems proper under the circumstances to give Shell the opportunity to confirm or deny any of the information/allegations stated below. I would therefore be grateful if you would kindly pass the information to the appropriate person at Shell.

If you supply us with a contact for use in future correspondence, then we will not contact you again. I am sure the thought of no more correspondence from the Donovan's will be pleasing.

Kind regards John

# Shell's disregard for personal safety

Shell's management is following a flawed plan and disregarding its own safety policies. They have abused the trust given to them by their employees. Specifically, some of Shell's divisions have created a "business continuity management" office which purports to ensure the continuation of Shell's business.

1

6 V

While obviously important, Shell believes it can only achieve this goal by placing its employees and families in danger. Shell has established "emergency office locations" at remote regions (one at 1313 Research Parkway, Number 200, College Station, Texas 77845) and at a suburb of London (Walton-on-the-Thames); both of these locations are considered far away from Shell's downtown headquarters.

During a stated emergency, employees are required to abandon their families and place themselves at risk by a forced attendance to these remote offices. The offices cost hundreds of thousands of dollars to maintain and have been proven as a failure during several real crisis. Yet Shell's management refuses to change plans.

Some of us have tried to protest these policies but have also watched as dissenters have been dealt with sternly. We cannot stand idly by while our safety is so carelessly disregarded.

.

Page 1 of 2

From: Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF

Sent: 01 February 2007 10:51

To: 'John Donovan'

Subject: RE: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Donovan

This is a challenge as I expect that different people are responsible for each location. I will pass this on to those I think should know about the message.

Regards

Richard Wiseman

General Counsel M & A and Project Finance - : +44 20 7934 5544 Fax: +44 20 7021 3023 Mobile: +44 7785 381779

Shell International Limited Registered in England and Wales Registered Office, Shell Centre, London SE1 7NA Company number 3075807

> -----Original Message-----From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net] Sent: 01 February 2007 09:23 To: Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF Subject: Allegations by Shell Insiders

Dear Mr Wiseman

I am sorry to be a nuisance by contacting you when this matter does not fall within your area of responsibility, but I do not know who is the appropriate person at Shell to deal with this matter.

The following are extracts from an email received today from a Shell insider. It seems proper under the circumstances to give Shell the opportunity to confirm or deny any of the information/allegations stated below. I would therefore be grateful if you would kindly pass the information to the appropriate person at Shell.

If you supply us with a contact for use in future correspondence, then we will not contact you again. I am sure the thought of no more correspondence from the Donovan's will be pleasing.

Kind regards John

# Shell's disregard for personal safety

Shell's management is following a flawed plan and disregarding its own safety policies. They have abused the trust given to them by their employees. Specifically, some of Shell's divisions have created a "business continuity management" office which purports to ensure the continuation of Shell's business.

While obviously important, Shell believes it can only achieve this goal by placing its employees and families in

Page 2 of 2

CQ.

danger. Shell has established "emergency office locations" at remote regions (one at 1313 Research Parkway, Number 200, College Station, Texas 77845) and at a suburb of London (Walton-on-the-Thames); both of these locations are considered far away from Shell's downtown headquarters.

During a stated emergency, employees are required to abandon their families and place themselves at risk by a forced attendance to these remote offices. The offices cost hundreds of thousands of dollars to maintain and have been proven as a failure during several real crisis. Yet Shell's management refuses to change plans.

Some of us have tried to protest these policies but have also watched as dissenters have been dealt with sternly. We cannot stand idly by while our safety is so carelessly disregarded.

\*

en in de Mariere de la ferencia de la <u>del de la compaña de la compaña de la compaña de la compaña de la compa</u>ña de la compaña de

From:John Donovan [john@shellnews.net]Sent:01 February 2007 09:23To:Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF

Subject: Allegations by Shell Insiders

# Dear Mr Wiseman

4

I am sorry to be a nuisance by contacting you when this matter does not fall within your area of responsibility, but I do not know who is the appropriate person at Shell to deal with this matter.

The following are extracts from an email received today from a Shell insider. It seems proper under the circumstances to give Shell the opportunity to confirm or deny any of the information/allegations stated below. I would therefore be grateful if you would kindly pass the information to the appropriate person at Shell.

If you supply us with a contact for use in future correspondence, then we will not contact you again. I am sure the thought of no more correspondence from the Donovan's will be pleasing.

<sup>1</sup>'ind regards

### Shell's disregard for personal safety

Shell's management is following a flawed plan and disregarding its own safety policies. They have abused the trust given to them by their employees. Specifically, some of Shell's divisions have created a "business continuity management" office which purports to ensure the continuation of Shell's business.

While obviously important, Shell believes it can only achieve this goal by placing its employees and families in danger. Shell has established "emergency office locations" at remote regions (one at 1313 Research Parkway, Number 200, College Station, Texas 77845) and at a suburb of London (Walton-on-the-Thames); both of these locations are considered far away from Shell's downtown headquarters.

During a stated emergency, employees are required to abandon their families and place themselves at risk by a forced attendance to these remote offices. The offices cost hundreds of thousands of dollars to maintain and have been proven as a failure during several real crisis. Yet Shell's management refuses to change plans.


Some of us have tried to protest these policies but have also watched as dissenters have been dealt with sternly. We cannot stand idly by while our safety is so carelessly disregarded.

| From:<br>Sent:<br>To: | 05 April 2007.08-11      |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Subject:              | Possible Security Threat |
| Importance:           | High                     |

Although the attached appears to be either a hoax or a scam I nonetheless wanted to make sure you were aware of this in case it may require action on our part.

This has been received through the Donovan website which appears either to have been mistaken for the official Royal Dutch Shell website or else was an attempt to obtain support for fulfilling this threat from the Donovans who are long-standing critics of Shell.

Regards



Internet: <http://www.shell.com/>

-----Original Message-----From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net] Sent: woensdag 4 april 2007 22:50 To: Brandjes, Michiel CM RDS-LC Subject: FW: Hello

Dear Mr Brandjes

The email below, which appears to be referring to a planned terrorist attack on Royal Dutch Shell in the Netherlands, was received at 18.44 on 4 April. I assume that the person who sent it cannot read English properly and probably thought it was being transmitted to Shell having got my email address from our main website.

It is almost certainly a hoax or a scam, but I thought it best to pass it on to you just in case it is genuine.

Regards

John Donovan

From: Mohammed Osuji [mailto:mohammed\_osuji@yahoo.com] Sent: 04 April 2007 18:44 To: john@shellnews.net Subject: Hello

Dear,

I deciding to sabotage against our mission in Netherland, since we are took around the Anglo-Dutch Royal Shell Group Netherland, for our attack mission.

Personally, I sympathize with innocent people operating in Anglo-Dutch Royal Shell Group Netherland, who's might be affected by the attack.

My remaining balance (payment ) for my mission in Netherland, is sum of three hundred thousand Dollar, if you can makes the sum available to me, I will collaborate with you.

Bye,

Mohammed Osuji

Now that's room service!

Choose from over 150,000 hotels in 45,000 destinations on Yahoo! Travel <a href="http://travel.yahoo.com/hotelsearchpage">http://travel.yahoo.com/hotelsearchpage</a>;

\_ylc=X3oDMTFtaTIzNXVjBF9TAzk3NDA3NTg5BF9zAzI3MTk0ODEEcG9zAzIEc2VjA21haWx0Y WdsaW5IBHNsawNxMS0wNw--%0d%0a> to find your fit.