SHELL HIT BY 2nd HIGH COURT WRIT - see www.shell-shareholders.org

THIS YOU?

Would you like to write Mortgages Would you like a proven Company System Would you like full, easy to understand Training Would you like all leads qualified and supplied Would you like a team of five working for you.
Would you like a low Licence Fee £1995 + VAT. Would you like this Licencee Fee fully refunded.
Would you like small monthly costs.
Would you like a positive Cash Flow within 3 months
Would you like to Gross in a year

£50,000 - £100,000 + If this is you, you need a

Mortgage Direct Licence Call for a Free information pack

01793 - 531155

The Original 6 year proven system - and still the best

Serious Debts . . . "Not anymore - now your creditors can legally write off up to 80% and stop the interest charges!" ©

If you have high unsecured debts above £20,000 and can no longer afford the repayments - to say the least this can be a very difficult time for you.

very difficult time for you.

You may be surprised - but your creditors can understand your problems and they are willing to help. They can now with a new private legal agreement write off up to 80% of your debts and cancel all the ongoing interest charges.

You can imagine the difference this would make to your income and family - no more worry, pressure or demands - just a greatly improved financial future.

Since 1979 we have helped thousands of clients throughout the U.K. legally resolve their debt problems - either personal or business (or both).

We have all the very necessary creditor approval and can prove our outstanding results to you. (others may copy our ads but can't copy our success).

Let us help you before your debt situation causes you even more worry and stress. We will explain exactly how this legislation will work for you.

For free confidential advice and professional assistance - call us now.

PLEASE DO NOT LEAVE IT TOO LATE



0800 214 964 (9am-9pm)



Please post in an envelope FREE of charge to: British Gas Home Insurance, FREEPOST NEA 3944, LEEDS LS1 1YY. Or call FREE on 0800 9 15 14 13. **Evening Tel:** Best time to call:

An idea you'll warm to

Home Insurance



The City Editor's Column

Banks queuing up to feed the lame ducks

6As in the

Eighties, the

excuses for

lending

grow thinner

and thinner?

THE good news is that corporate insolvency figures are at their lowest since before the recession of the early Nineties.

The bad news is that those figures hide the true state of company failures because so much capital is sloshing around looking for a home. And, for want of something better, it often ends up rescuing lame companies.

This is a Nineties caring version of the wild lending that brought the Eighties boom to such a jar-

ring halt.

It may make the bank manager feel good to rescue a company, but in most cases there are good reasons why that business faces collapse. Putting spare bank cash into it is no magic

The paradox has been highlighted by Alan Bloom, head of corporate recovery at accountant Ernst &

He reports that his team has not been busier for years, but is handling fewer outright receiver-

The reason is that there is sufficient capital and confi-dence around to save them,' he explains. 'That is making most cases rescu-

able.' I am sure that in most cases more than money is injected.

There are standing armies of managers around the country, waiting to be deployed in the war against corporate incompetence. But even then, these mercen-aries do not necessarily know the

ins and outs of a company and will normally be tempted to slash costs as a route to survival.

That, though, may be simply a waste of time if customers have

already lost patience and are drift-

The bottom line is that banks are brimming with cash and desperate to find a home for it. And, as in the Eighties, the excuses for lending are growing thinner and thinner.

The banks will keep funnelling the money in until it becomes apparent that many of these businesses are duds.

Everyone concerned would have been far better packing their bags and starting afresh.

Eventually some lender's nerve will crack, and then watch the

house of cards tumble.

Let us hope that it is not as nasty as the property collapse of 1973-74.

THERE is no disguising the relief felt by ICI chairman Sir Ronald Hampel since his committee on corporate governance reported in January.

Last week, in a speech to the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales, he was positively jocular about the pitfalls in allowing such a committee to be named after himself.

Not the least is that the unwanted tag of 'Sir Corporate

Governance' has passed from Sir Adrian Cadbury to Sir Richard Greenbury and ended up with Hampel, who is consequently finding it harder to shrug off.

But freed from the cares of

rewriting the rule book, he seems to be busily turning back the clock to when men were men and shareholders, the owners of companies, decided what was and was not good practice.

After intoning the fashionable mantra that 'no company can be prosperous without adequate regard for its stakeholders', Ham-pel said: 'One of the things that pleases me most is the recognition that there is no one best structure

for a board.'
So, no need any more to keep chairman and chief executive separate? No non-executives? What was all that about?

He went on: 'The most signifi-

cant development of the next few years will be in the rela-tionships between shareholders and directors.
'Shareholders will

take a higher profile in demanding better corporate governance

— and performance.'

It has taken several years and a large forest of paper to get round to the point that all the professional nannies in the world have only a fraction of the power of well-informed sharehold-

ers willing to band together to tell their servants, the company directors, what they can and cannot get away with.

THE party may soon end in a nasty hangover for all but one or two of the trendy chains of coffee shops that have sprung up all over London and other parts of the country.

It was always on the cards that Starbucks, the out-and-out leader of the business in the US, would sooner or later flex its muscles over

The demand is too strong and the profits too easy.

Now Starbucks has taken over Seattle Coffee Company, which has looked suspiciously like a stalking horse for Starbucks. SCC's founders, Scott and Ally Svenson, come from Seattle and Ally used to work for Starbucks.

The newcomer has 1,650 branches in the US, which gives it massive expertise and huge buying

UK rivals had better forget playtime because this game is about to get real.

Indeed, some of the smarter players may have already phoned Starbucks to offer themselves for sale, on the basis that the price now will be much higher than the price in a few months.

Starbucks, for that very reason,

may bide its time.

The timing is particularly poignant for City Gourmets, which runs a tiny chain called Madisons and is seeking an AIM listing.

That cloud over its shoulder is

the Starbucks jumbo jet about to land on its back.