

-----Original Message-----

From: John Donovan <john@shellnews.net>

To: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP

CC: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Brandjes, Michiel CM RDS-LC; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF

Sent: Tue Feb 27 20:34:31 2007

Subject: RE: Brent Bravo and Mr Bill Campbell

Dear Mr Ruddock

This is my detailed response.

It is probably wrong of me to be suspicious of lawyers, but I note that you chose to respond to my email of 20th February 2007, rather than answer directly the question I asked today about what you describe as a "purported communication". It would be very easy for you to clear up this point by simply answering on an unambiguous basis the question I put to you today. You now also have the precise formulation used by Mr Campbell to describe the Letter of Censure. An unambiguous categorical denial would also deal with what he has stated on that aspect. Otherwise the door is left open that such a communication was contemplated or issued, even if the content was different to that stated in our draft or by Mr Campbell.

I note the confirmation that Mr Brinded did speak to Shell members of the PSMR team at the end of last year on this subject. Perhaps you will find Mr Campbell's account in "The Making of Amends" document to be more accurate than our interpretation.

If you want to deal with "The Making of Amends" on a detailed basis (which we would welcome) then for the sake of clarity and the understanding of our readers, it would be appreciated if you would use plain unambiguous language so that there is no room left for doubt or misinterpretation. You could insert your comments in red text in the appropriate places throughout the document. It would be published on unedited basis. We are always willing to publish on that basis any response which Shell wishes to make

to any article published on our websites. That is a standing invitation. I do not believe that we could be fairer.

Regards

John Donovan

Royaldutchshellplc.com

From: keith.ruddock@shell.com [mailto:keith.ruddock@shell.com]
Sent: 27 February 2007 12:31
To: john@shellnews.net
Cc: jeroen.vanderveer@shell.com; Malcolm.Brimmed@shell.com; Jorma.Ollila@shell.com; richard.wiseman@shell.com; michiel.brandjes@shell.com
Subject: RE: Brent Bravo and Mr Bill Campbell

Dear Mr Donovan,

In your email to me of 20th February, 2007, you stated, inter alia, that:

"Jeroen van der Veer has sent a Letter of Censure to Brinded. It concluded that Brinded was wrong to dismiss Campbell as SIEP Lead Auditor. Brinded was also required to apologise personally to PSMT team members. A note to be issued by Brinded to EP staff and audit professionals was drafted. The tone implied that Brinded was on first name terms with "Bill". In fact they had not spoken for a decade."

In response I can confirm that there was no letter or other communication from Mr van der Veer concluding or indicating that Mr Brinded had been wrong to dismiss Mr Campbell as SIEP Lead Auditor. Equally, when Mr Brinded spoke to the Shell members of the PSMT team at the end of last year, he did so entirely of his own volition. No one had instructed him to do so. The proposed statement to EP staff was being prepared by Shell in joint consultation with Mr Campbell, as an attempt to find a mutually acceptable way forward with him - at the same time taking this as another opportunity to re-stress critical safety messages internally, and associating Mr Campbell with them positively. The contents of that proposed statement were discussed at some length with Mr Campbell, and the more familiar use of "Bill" was intended to make the tone more engaging for staff - especially as this was how Mr Campbell was known by former colleagues, including by Mr Brinded - and not to imply that Mr Campbell had been in direct contact with Mr Brinded in recent years.

Accordingly, I do not believe that there is any basis for you including reference to any such purported communication in your article.

Yours sincerely,

Keith Ruddock

Keith Ruddock
General Counsel Exploration and Production

20

Shell International B.V.
The Hague, The Netherlands - Trade Register no. 27155369
Address: c/o Kessler Park 1, 2288 GS Rijswijk, The Netherlands
Tel: +31 70 447 4323 Fax: 4380 Email: Keith.Ruddock@shell.com
Internet: <http://www.shell.com> <<http://www.shell.com/>>

-----Original Message-----

From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net]
Sent: 27 February 2007 09:45
To: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP
Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF
Subject: RE: Brent Bravo and Mr Bill Campbell

Dear Mr Ruddock

We note the usual blanket denial.

We are however publishing an article today which includes reference to a Letter of Censure involving Mr Jeroen van der Veer and Mr Malcolm Brinded. This is obviously an important matter in its own right.

If you are able to categorically state that there is no substance whatsoever to any such letter or communication, then we will remove all reference to it.

The article will be published this afternoon.

Regards

John Donovan

From: keith.ruddock@shell.com [mailto:keith.ruddock@shell.com]
Sent: 20 February 2007 13:31
To: john@shellnews.net
Subject: RE: Brent Bravo Scandal

Dear Mr Donovan

We disagree fundamentally with the factual basis and interpretation of the material you have produced but believe that no useful purpose would be achieved by engaging in a detailed rebuttal. We continue to expressly reserve our position in respect of these matters.

Regards

Keith Ruddock

29

Keith Ruddock
General Counsel Exploration and Production
Shell International Exploration and Production B.V.
The Hague, The Netherlands - Trade Register no. 27002688
Address: Kessler Park 1, 2288 GS Rijswijk, The Netherlands
Tel: +31 70 447 4323 Fax: 4380 Email: Keith.Ruddock@shell.com
Internet: <http://www.shell.com> <<http://www.shell.com/>>

-----Original Message-----

From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net]
Sent: 20 February 2007 10:17
To: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP
Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF
Subject: Brent Bravo Scandal

Dear Mr Ruddock

Re: My email dated 19 February 2007.

We had wanted Shell to have the opportunity to comment on a draft article based on information from documents in our possession and were prepared to take into account in a final draft, any comments made by Shell, particularly in relation to factual accuracy.

To give you some idea of the content, the following are extracts from the current comprehensive draft which contains devastating allegations and commentary about Shell and its senior management: -

· Campbell says that Shell's Chief Internal Auditor, Jakob Stausholm, admitted to him in a taped telephone conversation that the allegations made by Shell against Campbell in the releases were known by him (Stausholm) to be "false and misleading".

· Stausholm also clearly stated in the recorded conversation from June 2006 that the EP internal communiqué did not take into account factual evidence from its investigation report. The evidence was ignored as a conscious decision to strengthen the rebuttal to allegations attributed to Campbell in an article published by UpstreamOnline. Stausholm accepted that this had the secondary effect of punishing Campbell.

· In the same taped conversation, Stausholm disassociated himself and his colleague, Richard Sykes, the EP Group Environmental Advisor, from the formation of the wording in the press release and EP internal communiqué.

· Missing files: Campbell says that files held in the Internal Audit department in Aberdeen and at the EPS-HE library in The Hague relating to the PSMR disappeared. Related records of interviews with senior Brent Bravo management when important admittances were made had also conveniently disappeared. The same applied to logbooks, maintenance records, statements by inspectors etc.

· That contrary to Shell press statements no audit was carried out on Brent Bravo in 2000.

Jeroen van der Veer has sent a Letter of Censure to Brinded. It concluded that Brinded was wrong to dismiss Campbell as SIEP Lead Auditor. Brinded was also required to apologise personally to PSMR team members. A note to be issued by Brinded to EP staff and audit professionals was drafted. The tone implied that Brinded was on first name terms with "Bill". In fact they had not spoken for a decade.

Kieron McFayden admitted at a meeting with Campbell in the presence of a witness, David Richmond (a retired Shell platform manager), that when he learned about the "touch fuck all" policy, he was "thoroughly ashamed".

Campbell says: "I have been thoroughly sickened by the whole process that a Company with such published principles and standards can lie, cheat, falsify and corrupt and defame the character of a respected employee who has been commended various times throughout his long career."

Campbell has continued to publicly campaign about the alleged disregard for safety out of concern that unless past wrongdoing is exposed and culprits punished, another major accident is inevitable and that more preventable deaths will be the outcome.

Since we have not even received the courtesy of an acknowledgement, it seems reasonable to conclude that Shell is not interested in the offer. Consequently, unless we hear from you by 2pm UK time today we will assume that Shell does not wish to take up the offer and will not bother Shell further on the matter.

While writing, I would also like to draw your attention to the following article.

ShellNews.net: Update on lawsuits against Royal Dutch Shell
<<http://royaldutchshellplc.com/2007/02/20/shellnewsnet-update-on-lawsuits-against-royal-dutch-shell/>>

No doubt Shell will let us know if it disputes what we say in this article.

Regards

John Donovan

[REDACTED]

31

Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP

From: John Donovan [john@shellnews.net]
Sent: 28 February 2007 09:35
To: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP
Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Brandjes, Michiel CM RDS-LC; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF
Subject: Letter of Censure sent to Mr Malcolm Brinded

Dear Mr Ruddock

It is only fair to advise you of further information regarding the above subject.

I have previously mentioned taped conversations. We have overnight reviewed a relevant tape which records a discussion between Mr Campbell and someone very senior at Shell. During the conversation, the letter to Mr Brinded is specifically described as a Letter of Censure. The content also discussed.

The content of the taped discussion is at variance with what you have stated. Please bear this in mind when making any further response, particularly any unambiguous categorical denial. You already have Mr Campbell's

account of the information in the relevant letter which tallies with the content of the taped discussion.

I believe all we know what letter we are talking about even if Shell now chooses to take the view that it was not a Letter of Censure, despite evidence to the contrary.

Regards

John Donovan

32

[REDACTED]
From:
Sent:
To:

[REDACTED]
28 February 2007 10:36
[REDACTED]

Cc:

Subject:

RE: [REDACTED] and Confidential: Brent Bravo and [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] All,

Thanks for this. I think closing down communications is the best route to take. The more we engage with the Donovans the more ammunition they will have.

They appear to view themselves as top international journalists. The only journalist who has ever mentioned their website to me is [REDACTED], although I made no comment on the Donovans, he was very scathing and did not take them seriously at all. This may be of some comfort...

Kind regards,
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP

From: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP
Sent: 28 February 2007 17:50
To: 'John Donovan'
Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF
Subject: Mr Bill Campbell and Shell

Dear Mr Donovan

I refer to your emails of 27th February and 28th February. I have attempted to answer your points in an open manner. It is clear that you are endeavouring to ascribe meanings to my comments which go beyond a natural interpretation. Given your responses, I do not believe that it is constructive to continue a dialogue on this topic with you. In any event, the matters described in "The Making of Amends" document relate to areas of disagreement between Shell and Mr Campbell. Mr Campbell has now retained a solicitor to advise him on these issues. We therefore believe that the appropriate avenue of communication on these matters going forward is properly between us and Mr Campbell and his legal advisers.

Please note, however, that the lack of a rebuttal from, or comment by, Shell does not in any way constitute an acceptance on Shell's part of any of the points made by you or Mr Campbell, whether now or in the future, and we continue to reserve our position in respect of those matters.

Yours sincerely

Keith Ruddock

Keith Ruddock
General Counsel Exploration and Production
Shell International B.V.
The Hague, The Netherlands - Trade Register no. 27155369
Address: c/o Kessler Park 1, 2288 GS Rijswijk, The Netherlands
Tel: +31 70 447 4323 Fax: 4380 Email: Keith.Ruddock@shell.com
Internet: <http://www.shell.com/>

-----Original Message-----

From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net]

Sent: 28 February 2007 09:35

To: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP

Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Brandjes, Michiel CM RDS-LC; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF

Subject: Letter of Censure sent to Mr Malcolm Brinded

Dear Mr Ruddock

It is only fair to advise you of further information regarding the above subject.

I have previously mentioned taped conversations. We have overnight reviewed a relevant tape which records a discussion between Mr Campbell and someone very senior at Shell. During the conversation, the letter to Mr Brinded is specifically described as a Letter of Censure. The content is also discussed.

The content of the taped discussion is at variance with what you have stated. Please bear this in mind when making any further response, particularly any unambiguous categorical denial. You already have Mr Campbell's

account of the information in the relevant letter which tallies with the content of the taped discussion.

I believe all we know what letter we are talking about even if Shell now chooses to take the view that it was not a Letter of Censure, despite evidence to the contrary.

Regards

John Donovan

55

Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP

From: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP
Sent: 28 February 2007 17:50
To: 'John Donovan'
Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF
Subject: Mr Bill Campbell and Shell

Dear Mr Donovan

I refer to your emails of 27th February and 28th February. I have attempted to answer your points in an open manner. It is clear that you are endeavouring to ascribe meanings to my comments which go beyond a natural interpretation. Given your responses, I do not believe that it is constructive to continue a dialogue on this topic with you. In any event, the matters described in "The Making of Amends" document relate to areas of disagreement between Shell and Mr Campbell. Mr Campbell has now retained a solicitor to advise him on these issues. We therefore believe that the appropriate avenue of communication on these matters going forward is properly between us and Mr Campbell and his legal advisers.

Please note, however, that the lack of a rebuttal from, or comment by, Shell does not in any way constitute an acceptance on Shell's part of any of the points made by you or Mr Campbell, whether now or in the future, and we continue to reserve our position in respect of those matters.

Yours sincerely

Keith Ruddock

Keith Ruddock
General Counsel Exploration and Production
Shell International B.V.
The Hague, The Netherlands - Trade Register no. 27155369
Address: c/o Kessler Park 1, 2288 GS Rijswijk, The Netherlands
Tel: +31 70 447 4323 Fax: 4380 Email: Keith.Ruddock@shell.com
Internet: <http://www.shell.com/>

-----Original Message-----

From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net]
Sent: 28 February 2007 09:35
To: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP
Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Brandjes, Michiel CM RDS-LC; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF
Subject: Letter of Censure sent to Mr Malcolm Brinded

Dear Mr Ruddock

It is only fair to advise you of further information regarding the above subject.

I have previously mentioned taped conversations. We have overnight reviewed a relevant tape which records a discussion between Mr Campbell and someone very senior at Shell. During the conversation, the letter to Mr Brinded is specifically described as a Letter of Censure. The content is also discussed.

The content of the taped discussion is at variance with what you have stated. Please bear this in mind when making any further response, particularly any unambiguous categorical denial. You already have Mr Campbell's

account of the information in the relevant letter which tallies with the content of the taped discussion.

I believe all we know what letter we are talking about even if Shell now chooses to take the view that it was not a Letter of Censure, despite evidence to the contrary.

Regards

John Donovan

-----Original Message-----

From: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP

Sent: 28 February 2007 17:50

To: 'John Donovan'

Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF

Subject: Mr Bill Campbell and Shell

Dear Mr Donovan

I refer to your emails of 27th February and 28th February. I have attempted to answer your points in an open manner. It is clear that you are endeavouring to ascribe meanings to my comments which go beyond a natural interpretation. Given your responses, I do not believe that it is constructive to continue a dialogue on this topic with you. In any event, the matters described in "The Making of Amends" document relate to areas of disagreement between Shell and Mr Campbell. Mr Campbell has now retained a solicitor to advise him on these issues. We therefore believe that the appropriate avenue of communication on these matters going forward is properly between us and Mr Campbell and his legal advisers.

Please note, however, that the lack of a rebuttal from, or comment by, Shell does not in any way constitute an acceptance on Shell's part of any of the points made by you or Mr Campbell, whether now or in the future, and we continue to reserve our position in respect of those matters.

Yours sincerely

Keith Ruddock

Keith Ruddock

General Counsel Exploration and Production
Shell International B.V.

The Hague, The Netherlands - Trade Register no. 27155369

Address: c/o Kessler Park 1, 2288 GS Rijswijk, The Netherlands

Tel: +31 70 447 4323 Fax: 4380 Email: Keith.Ruddock@shell.com

Internet: <http://www.shell.com/>

38

-----Original Message-----

From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net]

Sent: 28 February 2007 09:35

To: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP

Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Brandjes, Michiel CM RDS-LC; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF

Subject: Letter of Censure sent to Mr Malcolm Brinded

Dear Mr Ruddock

It is only fair to advise you of further information regarding the above subject.

I have previously mentioned taped conversations. We have overnight reviewed a relevant tape which records a discussion between Mr Campbell and someone very senior at Shell. During the conversation, the letter to Mr Brinded is specifically described as a Letter of Censure. The content is also discussed.

The content of the taped discussion is at variance with what you have stated. Please bear this in mind when making any further response, particularly any unambiguous categorical denial. You already have Mr Campbell's

account of the information in the relevant letter which tallies with the content of the taped discussion.

I believe all we know what letter we are talking about even if Shell now chooses to take the view that it was not a Letter of Censure, despite evidence to the contrary.

Regards

John Donovan

39

Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP

From: John Donovan [john@shellnews.net]
Sent: 01 March 2007 12:37
To: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP
Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Brandjes, Michiel CM RDS-LC; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF
Subject: RE: Mr Bill Campbell and Shell

Dear Mr Ruddock

With the greatest respect, instead of the bobbing and weaving you could have simply stated that in the context of the above subject there has been no letter that could be construed as a Letter of Censure.

The fact that you are unable to make an unambiguous denial along these lines speaks volumes.

The article will be published this afternoon along with the associated documents and email correspondence.

If there is any further response, I will add it to the published correspondence.

Otherwise I will consider that the correspondence has ended.

Regards

John Donovan

From: keith.ruddock@shell.com [mailto:keith.ruddock@shell.com]
Sent: 28 February 2007 17:50
To: john@shellnews.net
Cc: jeroen.vanderveer@shell.com; Malcolm.Brinded@shell.com; Jorma.Ollila@shell.com; richard.wiseman@shell.com
Subject: Mr Bill Campbell and Shell

Dear Mr Donovan

I refer to your emails of 27th February and 28th February. I have attempted to answer your points in an open manner. It is clear that you are endeavouring to ascribe meanings to my comments which go beyond a natural interpretation. Given your responses, I do not believe that it is constructive to continue a dialogue on this topic with you. In any event, the matters described in "The Making of Amends" document relate to areas of disagreement between Shell and Mr Campbell. Mr Campbell has now retained a solicitor to advise him on these issues. We therefore believe that the appropriate avenue of communication on these matters going forward is properly between us and Mr Campbell and his legal advisers.

Please note, however, that the lack of a rebuttal from, or comment by, Shell does not in any way constitute an acceptance on Shell's part of any of the points made by you or Mr Campbell, whether now or in the future, and we continue to reserve our position in respect of those matters.

Yours sincerely

Keith Ruddock

Keith Ruddock
General Counsel Exploration and Production
Shell International B.V.
The Hague, The Netherlands - Trade Register no. 27155369
Address: c/o Kessler Park 1, 2288 GS Rijswijk, The Netherlands
Tel: +31 70 447 4323 Fax: 4380 Email: Keith.Ruddock@shell.com
Internet: <http://www.shell.com/>

-----Original Message-----

From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net]

Sent: 28 February 2007 09:35

To: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP

Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Brandjes, Michiel CM RDS-LC; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF

Subject: Letter of Censure sent to Mr Malcolm Brinded

Dear Mr Ruddock

It is only fair to advise you of further information regarding the above subject.

I have previously mentioned taped conversations. We have overnight reviewed a relevant tape which records a discussion between Mr Campbell and someone very senior at Shell. During the conversation, the letter to Mr Brinded is specifically described as a Letter of Censure. The content is also discussed.

The content of the taped discussion is at variance with what you have stated. Please bear this in mind when making any further response, particularly any unambiguous categorical denial.

You already have Mr Campbell's

account of the information in the relevant letter which tallies with the content of the taped discussion.

I believe all we know what letter we are talking about even if Shell now chooses to take the view that it was not a Letter of Censure, despite evidence to the contrary.

Regards

John Donovan

Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP

From: John Donovan [john@shellnews.net]
Sent: 19 February 2007 09:28
To: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP
Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH
Subject: The Brent Bravo Scandal

Dear Mr. Ruddock

Subject to final approval by our principle source, we are ready to publish a major article entitled:

"The Brent Bravo Scandal erupts again"

It will contain links to a number of documents including: -

1. The Making of Amends
2. Assessment of Residual Risk Levels on Brent Bravo
3. Shell in the North Sea: Progress with Safety – Fact or Fiction?

Your own worthy role in "The Making of Amends" process is of course mentioned.

We will almost certainly make taped discussions available with the article as an audio feed (as we have in the past) together with relevant transcripts. They provide an interesting extra dimension. Actually hearing admissions (and threats) made by Shell senior managers/officials adds to the impact.

Do you wish to have advance sight of the article which has been drafted in association with our main and secondary sources, including Shell insiders? We would carefully consider any comments made by Shell and, as always, would happily publish with the article, on an unedited basis, any comments/rebuttal made by Shell in response to the astonishing revelations and serious allegations.

Please let me know if Shell wishes to take up this offer which is made in good faith.

43

Regards

John Donovan

Long term shareholder in Royal Dutch Shell Plc

and co-owner of the website, Royaldutchshellplc.com

From: John Donovan [john@shellnews.net]
Sent: 09 August 2006 16:17
To: Van der Veer, Jeroen SI-GLOBAL
Cc: Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF
Subject: When is Shell going to sue former Shell International Group Auditor Bill Campbell for defamation?

An Open Letter from John Donovan to JEROEN VAN DER VEER (sent by email)

ShellNews.net: When is Shell going to sue former Shell International Group Auditor Bill Campbell for defamation?

Dear Mr Van der Veer

As a long term Shell shareholder I am extremely concerned by the serious allegations made by the former Shell International Group Auditor, Mr Bill Campbell, concerning alleged falsification of records in relation to the Shell Brent scandal.

We really cannot have a distinguished former high level Shell official being allowed to make such accusations without Shell taking action against him for defamation if, as Shell claims, they are untrue.

This is not a case of some outsider ignorant of the facts making wild accusations. The charges in this case are being made by a person of high reputation and considerable expertise following his authorised investigation as Group Auditor into the Brent safety regime.

Since a number of "accidental" deaths have occurred on Brent Bravo, two of which resulted in a record breaking £900,000 fine imposed on Shell, the allegation of falsification of records could result in criminal charges if true.

Mr Campbell is on record as claiming that he has personally met with you to discuss these matters. If this is correct, then you must be fully briefed on the issues and the allegations he has publicly made. They have been repeated in various mass media sources and in trade publications including, for example, UpstreamOnline.

Campbell says that ESDV leak-off tests were purposely falsified, not once but many times. He further alleges that the inaction of the relevant Asset Manager, the General Manager, the Oil Director and the Shell Expro Managing Director in 1999 (Malcolm Brinded), contributed in some part to the unlawful killing of two persons on Brent Bravo in September 2003.

My father and I have published some outspoken articles about Shell ourselves but nothing as serious as the charges levelled against Shell management by your own former Group Auditor.

Surely Shell is not going to allow Mr Campbell to continued repeating these devastating allegations? If they are unfounded, why have you not already instituted libel proceedings? If they are true, why have you not sacked Malcolm Brinded? Why has he not done the honourable thing and resigned?

You had no compunction about bringing a draconian defamation action against Dr John Huang. Why the reluctance to set your lawyers on to Mr Campbell. If you do not take action, people will naturally assume that Shell management is unwilling to do so because Mr Campbell is speaking the truth and can prove it.

20/03/2007

45

Knowing Shell management as I do, no doubt Shell undercover agents are already engaged in "activities" in relation to the loose cannon, Mr Campbell - the ultimate whistleblower. As you are aware, one of your predecessors as the boss of bosses at Shell was simultaneously the spymaster of a sinister private intelligence company staffed by former MI6 agents who carried out operations for Shell on an international basis. Mr Campbell will therefore be well advised to be on his guard at all times while these matters are still on the boil.

If anyone says anything untrue about Shell, it is your duty Mr Van der Veer to take action to protect the reputation of the Shell brand. However, you have my sympathy to some degree because Shell management blunders and misdeeds have been so wide-ranging that people who wish to criticise have an almost unlimited supply of ammunition (as the recent articles below confirm).

Yours sincerely
John Donovan

TellShell-Live Chat.com: the "CMD", dithered, dallied, and danced the Macarena...

EMAIL TO MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

ShellNews.net: constructive offer to Shell in relation to its defamation lawsuit

ShellNews.net: HSE email regarding Bill Campbell and the Brent Fatal Accident Inquiry: 6 Aug 2006

ShellNews.net: Shell defamation action against Dr John Huang: An Open Offer to Shell

ShellNews.net: a warning about tendering for Royal Dutch Shell contracts

ShellNews.net: Potential catastrophic consequences if Sakhalin ERD wells allegations are true

· Kieron McFayden admitted at a meeting with Campbell in the presence of a witness, David Richmond (a retired Shell platform manager), that when he learned about the "touch fuck all" policy, he was "thoroughly ashamed".

· Campbell says: *"I have been thoroughly sickened by the whole process that a Company with such published principles and standards can lie, cheat, falsify and corrupt and defame the character of a respected employee who has been commended various times throughout his long career."*

Campbell has continued to publicly campaign about the alleged disregard for safety out of concern that unless past wrongdoing is exposed and culprits punished, another major accident is inevitable and that more preventable deaths will be the outcome.

Since we have not even received the courtesy of an acknowledgement, it seems reasonable to conclude that Shell is not interested in the offer. Consequently, unless we hear from you by 2pm UK time today we will assume that Shell does not wish to take up the offer and will not bother Shell further on the matter.

While writing, I would also like to draw your attention to the following article.

ShellNews.net: Update on lawsuits against Royal Dutch Shell

No doubt Shell will let us know if it disputes what we say in this article.

Regards
John Donovan

23/03/2007

47

[REDACTED]

From: John Donovan [john@shellnews.net]
Sent: 27 February 2007 09:45
To: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP
Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF
Subject: RE: Brent Bravo and Mr Bill Campbell

Dear Mr Ruddock

We note the usual blanket denial.

We are however publishing an article today which includes reference to a Letter of Censure involving Mr Jeroen van der Veer and Mr Malcolm Brinded. This is obviously an important matter in its own right.

If you are able to categorically state that there is no substance whatsoever to any such letter or communication, then we will remove all reference to it.

The article will be published this afternoon.

Regards
John Donovan

From: keith.ruddock@shell.com [mailto:keith.ruddock@shell.com]
Sent: 20 February 2007 13:31
To: john@shellnews.net
Subject: RE: Brent Bravo Scandal

Dear Mr Donovan

We disagree fundamentally with the factual basis and interpretation of the material you have produced but believe that no useful purpose would be achieved by engaging in a detailed rebuttal. We continue to expressly reserve our position in respect of these matters.

Regards

Keith Ruddock

Keith Ruddock
General Counsel Exploration and Production
Shell International Exploration and Production B.V.
The Hague, The Netherlands - Trade Register no. 27002688
Address: Kessler Park 1, 2288 GS Rijswijk, The Netherlands
Tel: +31 70 447 4323 Fax: 4380 Email: Keith.Ruddock@shell.com
Internet: <http://www.shell.com>

-----Original Message-----

From: John Donovan [mailto:john@shellnews.net]
Sent: 20 February 2007 10:17

26/03/2007

48

To: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP
Cc: van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brinded, Malcolm A RDS-ECMB; Ollila, Jorma SI-RDS/CH; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF
Subject: Brent Bravo Scandal

Dear Mr Ruddock

Re: My email dated 19 February 2007.

We had wanted Shell to have the opportunity to comment on a draft article based on information from documents in our possession and were prepared to take into account in a final draft, any comments made by Shell, particularly in relation to factual accuracy.

To give you some idea of the content, the following are extracts from the current comprehensive draft which contains devastating allegations and commentary about Shell and its senior management: -

- Campbell says that Shell's Chief Internal Auditor, Jakob Stausholm, admitted to him in a taped telephone conversation that the allegations made by Shell against Campbell in the releases were known by him (Stausholm) to be "*false and misleading*".
- Stausholm also clearly stated in the recorded conversation from June 2004 that the EP internal communiqué did not take into account factual evidence from his investigation report. The evidence was ignored as a conscious decision to strengthen the rebuttal to allegations attributed to Campbell in an article published by UpstreamOnline. Stausholm accepted that this had the secondary effect of punishing Campbell.
- In the same taped conversation, Stausholm disassociated himself and his colleague, Richard Sykes, the EP Group Environmental Advisor, from the formation of the wording in the press release and EP internal communiqué.
- Missing files: Campbell says that files held in the Internal Audit department in Aberdeen and at the EPS-HE library in The Hague relating to the PS MR disappeared. Related records of interviews with senior Brent Bravo management when important admittances were made had also conveniently disappeared. The same applied to logbooks, maintenance records, statements by inspectors etc.
- That contrary to Shell press statements no audit was carried out on Brent Bravo in 2000.
- **Jeroen van der Veer has sent a Letter of Censure to Brinded.** It concluded that Brinded was wrong to dismiss Campbell as SIEP Lead Auditor. Brinded was also required to apologise personally to PS MR team members. A note to be issued by Brinded to EP staff and audit professionals was drafted. The tone implied that Brinded was on first name terms with "Bill". In fact they had not spoken for a decade.
- Kieron McFayden admitted at a meeting with Campbell in the presence of a witness, David Richmond (a retired Shell platform manager), that when he learned about the "touch fuck all" policy, he was "*thoroughly ashamed*".
- **Campbell says: "*I have been thoroughly sickened by the whole process that a Company with such published principles and standards can lie, cheat, falsify and corrupt and defame the character of a respected employee who has been commended various times throughout his long career.*"**

Campbell has continued to publicly campaign about the alleged disregard for safety out of concern that unless past wrongdoing is exposed and culprits punished, another major accident is inevitable and that more preventable deaths will be the outcome.

Since we have not even received the courtesy of an acknowledgement, it seems reasonable to conclude that Shell is not interested in the offer. Consequently, unless we hear from you by 2pm UK time today we

49

will assume that Shell does not wish to take up the offer and will not bother Shell further on the matter.

While writing, I would also like to draw your attention to the following article.

ShellNews.net: Update on lawsuits against Royal Dutch Shell

No doubt Shell will let us know if it disputes what we say in this article.

Regards
John Donovan

26/03/2007

50