



ALL EXTRACTS FEATURED BELOW (AND OVER-LEAF) ARE FROM AN ARTICLE PUBLISHED ON THE SHELLNEWS.NET WEBSITE UNDER THE NAME OF FORMER SHELL GEOLOGIST/WHISTLEBLOWER, DR JOHN HUONG, A MALAYSIAN HUMANITARIAN. THE ARTICLES ARE THE SUBJECT OF A HIGH COURT INJUNCTION/RESTRAINING ORDER BY EIGHT MULTINATIONAL ROYAL DUTCH SHELL COMPANIES. FURTHER PROCEEDINGS HAVE JUST BEEN ISSUED AGAINST DR HUONG BY THE SAME SHELL COMPANIES. THESE INCLUDE A FURTHER HIGH COURT INJUNCTION AND A “NOTICE TO SHOW CAUSE” IN RELATION TO CONTEMPT OF COURT PROCEEDINGS SEEKING HIS IMPRISONMENT.

THE EXTRACTS:

“I will supply for publication further informed comment and revelations in the run up to Shell's AGM on 28 June. It will include examples of the toxic combination of arrogance, greed, dishonesty, and blatant disregard for all ethical norms by Shell Management, that has culminated in the current shame heaped upon the once proud Shell name.”

“...I have integrated my personal insights as seen from the perspective of a former Shell employee – a Shell geologist for almost 30 years - who was unfairly axed by Shell management. I was punished because I insisted on working within the ethical boundaries of Shell’s “Statement of General Business Principles” (SGBP) which is supposed to protect shareholder, national and other stakeholder interests.”

“Correspondence between Sir Mark Moody Stuart and Mr. Richard Wiseman below shows the actual mentality of Shell Management in high places. This behavior was inevitably imitated by executives in operating companies who followed and adopted the example of a ruthless and deceitful corporate culture practiced by those at the very top of the Royal Dutch Shell Group.”

“No amount of spin and hype can hide the fact that Shell’s claimed core principle of truth and honesty in all of its dealings is unadulterated propaganda. Like Enron and WorldCom executives, Shell senior management obviously feels that it is okay to hide the truth from its shareholders and the public.”

“Below is a letter I last wrote on 3rd June 2004 to Shell Malaysian Management, hoping that if both sides entered into a constructive dialogue on a “without prejudice” basis, the matter could be resolved amicably despite the threats I had received on 17th May 2004 from their legal Manager Mr. Thavakumar Kandiahpillai. It is significant that legitimate questions (“Confidentiality versus Shareholder’s interests”-25th May 2004) I posed to him about Shell’s ethical practices have remained unanswered.”

Continued overleaf...