

**EMAIL FROM ALFRED DONOVAN TO KEITH RUDDOCK 12 SEPTEMBER 2007 01:30**

From: Alfred Donovan [mailto:alfred@shellnews.net]

Sent: 12 September 2007 01:30

To: Ruddock, Keith KA SI-LSEP

Cc: Cambellxxxxxxx; van der Veer, Jeroen J RDS-CEJV; Brandjes, Michiel CM RDS-LC; Ollila, Jorma RDS-RDS/CH; Wiseman, Richard RM SI-LMAPF; RobertAllen,SimmonsandSimmons; Sanger, David H SI-LC-SL

Subject: RE: Email to 600 MP's

Dear Mr Ruddock

Shell appears to be in a state of disarray issuing yet another blanket denial. We know from Shell documents already released to us under the SAR that this strategy is being followed to prevent giving us "ammunition" i.e. confirmation of misdeeds by Shell and/or its lawyers.

The "matters" you refer to included questions in relation to the SAR application.

Simmons & Simmons claimed that they would now be dealing with the SAR issues but instead of a response from them to the SAR related issues raised in recent emails, you have responded when our email was in fact addressed to Mr Brandjes who set the SAR application in motion.

Like Mr Sanger, Mr Allen and Mr Brandjes, you have chosen not to provide an answer to the codenames issue. Three lawyers have all ducked a simple question. That makes us more than suspicious.

Any of you could have simply stated

Your information about the use of codenames is incorrect. No codenames have been used in relation to you or your father.

but were self-evidently unable or unwilling to do so.

Under the circumstances I believe it is reasonable for us to conclude that the insider information on the subject of code names is correct.

Nonetheless, I will ask one last time for a straight-forward answer to a straight-forward question.

Has Shell ever substituted a codename(s) for the Donovan surname for either my son or I, or for both of us? I am sure Mr Campbell would also be asking the same question about his name.

We are delaying the email to MP's while we give Shell this last opportunity to answer this question.

If the question is ignored again we will assume that it is on the basis of concern over self-incrimination by Shell and will pass this correspondence to the Information Commissioner as well as Shell internal documents confirming what is stated above .

Yours sincerely  
Alfred Donovan