[1]	expect your Lordship to say Another aspect of forensic	[1] MR HOBBS: I understand perfectly. My
2]	matters which I have looked at is that unless you have	 [2] position is this: At the moment there are no further
	an original document, there is very little, if anything,	[3] inquiries I do not know about, that i would wish to
	to be done forensically.	[4] make. In other words, I am not proposing to make any
]	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Mr. Hobbs, that I must	[5] further inquiries myself. What I need to know and would
1	say is my understanding as well, but there is an	[6] respectfully submit your Lordship needs to know, is for
	original. There is a document with Mr. Sotherton's	[7] my learned friend to have a discussion with those
	handwriting on which is something which I assume was	[8] instructing him and come back in 15 minutes and give
]	changed by the claimant.	[9] your Lordship an indication.
]	MR HOBBS: I have to concede that.	[10] MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Mr. Cox, rather than 15
]	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: So, there ought to be an	[11] minutes, I am quite prepared to rise now and to sit
]	internal copy. That may be an original and it may be	[12] again at quarter to two. That gives you an hour and a
IJ	possible to date the writing on it. But, the principle,	[13] half to consider. There will be an adjournment, but I
]	let's get the principle.	[14] do not want this to go on too long because it will cost
J	MR HOBBS: The principle I agree with.	[15] even more money.
]	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That there has to be	[16] MR COX: Would your Lordship say 2?
]	time for Mr. Donovan to consider this and seek	[17] MR JUSTICE LADDIE: 2 o'clock, fine. You
]	information.	[18] have a nice lunch fixed?
]	MR HOBBS: If my learned friend tells your	[19] MR COX: No, I have a long period, I think,
	Lordship, bearing in mind all the constraints, that he	[20] of consideration and I will be here at 2 to give an
	requires time to explore avenues for further evidence,	[21] estimate of the adjournment.
	he can give us an indication of how long that is, I am	[22] MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Now, before you go, I took what for
3]	bound to say that is appropriate -	[23] Chancery Division is not an unusual course, of calling
4]	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Mr. Hobbs, you will have	[24] both of you in to see me last week and I was and I can
5]	gathered from the question I put to you, two things:	[25] make this quite clear- disappointed to see this trial
	Either Friday or yesterday I was interested to know	[1] continuing on Monday morning. All that has happened
2]	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's.	[2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am
2] 3]	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr.	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any
2] 3] 4]	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible
2] 3] 4] 5]	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially
2] 3] 4] 5]	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of
2] 3] 4] 5] 5] 7]	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent.	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity
2] 3] 4] 5] 7] 8]	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost
2] 3] 4] 5] 5] 7] 8]	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter.	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future.
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised and I can understand why he says he was surprised. All	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give [13] judgment which is unfavourable to one or other or both.
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised and I can understand why he says he was surprised. All I am saying, Mr. Hobbs, is whether you do it or Mr.	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give [13] judgment which is unfavourable to one or other or both. [14] I would hate this to happen, I would hate them to be
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised and I can understand why he says he was surprised. All I am saying, Mr. Hobbs, is whether you do it or Mr. Cox's client does it, I want to know what the position	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give [13] judgment which is unfavourable to one or other or both. [14] I would hate this to happen, I would hate them to be [15] taken by surprise. I have already given that message to
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised and I can understand why he says he was surprised. All I am saying, Mr. Hobbs, is whether you do it or Mr. Cox's client does it, I want to know what the position is with Sainsbury's at the time when this case returns	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give [13] judgment which is unfavourable to one or other or both. [14] I would hate this to happen, I would hate them to be [15] taken by surprise. I have already given that message to [16] you, both of you and I would now say it again for the
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised and I can understand why he says he was surprised. All I am saying, Mr. Hobbs, is whether you do it or Mr. Cox's client does it, I want to know what the position is with Sainsbury's at the time when this case returns to be resumed. What I really want to know is that I am	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give [13] judgment which is unfavourable to one or other or both. [14] I would hate this to happen, I would hate them to be [15] taken by surprise. I have already given that message to [16] you, both of you and I would now say it again for the [17] benefit of your clients, but this is an unpleasant case
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised and I can understand why he says he was surprised. All I am saying, Mr. Hobbs, is whether you do it or Mr. Cox's client does it, I want to know what the position is with Sainsbury's at the time when this case returns to be resumed. What I really want to know is that I am sure that the right course must be to allow Mr. Donovan	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give [13] judgment which is unfavourable to one or other or both. [14] I would hate this to happen, I would hate them to be [15] taken by surprise. I have already given that message to [16] you, both of you and I would now say it again for the [17] benefit of your clients, but this is an unpleasant case [18] where everybody will come out the poorer. That is the
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised and I can understand why he says he was surprised. All I am saying, Mr. Hobbs, is whether you do it or Mr. Cox's client does it, I want to know what the position is with Sainsbury's at the time when this case returns to be resumed. What I really want to know is that I am sure that the right course must be to allow Mr. Donovan time to answer the very serious allegations that have	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give [13] judgment which is unfavourable to one or other or both. [14] I would hate this to happen, I would hate them to be [15] taken by surprise. I have already given that message to [16] you, both of you and I would now say it again for the [17] benefit of your clients, but this is an unpleasant case [18] where everybody will come out the poorer. That is the [19] most likely outcome. I will leave it until 2 o'clock
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised and I can understand why he says he was surprised. All I am saying, Mr. Hobbs, is whether you do it or Mr. Cox's client does it, I want to know what the position is with Sainsbury's at the time when this case returns to be resumed. What I really want to know is that I am sure that the right course must be to allow Mr. Donovan time to answer the very serious allegations that have been made. I want to know what the mechanics are going	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give [13] judgment which is unfavourable to one or other or both. [14] I would hate this to happen, I would hate them to be [15] taken by surprise. I have already given that message to [16] you, both of you and I would now say it again for the [17] benefit of your clients, but this is an unpleasant case [18] where everybody will come out the poorer. That is the [19] most likely outcome. I will leave it until 2 o'clock [20] and if you could then give me an indication of how long
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised and I can understand why he says he was surprised. All I am saying, Mr. Hobbs, is whether you do it or Mr. Cox's client does it, I want to know what the position is with Sainsbury's at the time when this case returns to be resumed. What I really want to know is that I am sure that the right course must be to allow Mr. Donovan time to answer the very serious allegations that have been made. I want to know what the mechanics are going to be for giving Mr. Donovan sufficient time to do that,	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give [13] judgment which is unfavourable to one or other or both. [14] I would hate this to happen, I would hate them to be [15] taken by surprise. I have already given that message to [16] you, both of you and I would now say it again for the [17] benefit of your clients, but this is an unpleasant case [18] most likely outcome. I will leave it until 2 o'clock [20] and if you could then give me an indication of how long [21] you need.
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised and I can understand why he says he was surprised. All I am saying, Mr. Hobbs, is whether you do it or Mr. Cox's client does it, I want to know what the position is with Sainsbury's at the time when this case returns to be resumed. What I really want to know is that I am sure that the right course must be to allow Mr. Donovan time to answer the very serious allegations that have been made. I want to know what the mechanics are going to be for giving Mr. Donovan sufficient time to do that, but without unduly delaying the further conduct of this	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give [13] judgment which is unfavourable to one or other or both. [14] I would hate this to happen, I would hate them to be [15] taken by surprise. I have already given that message to [16] you, both of you and I would now say it again for the [17] benefit of your clients, but this is an unpleasant case [18] where everybody will come out the poorer. That is the [19] most likely outcome. I will leave it until 2 o'clock [20] and if you could then give me an indication of how long [21] you need. [22] MR COX: I will my Lord.
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised and I can understand why he says he was surprised. All I am saying, Mr. Hobbs, is whether you do it or Mr. Cox's client does it, I want to know what the position is with Sainsbury's at the time when this case returns to be resumed. What I really want to know is that I am sure that the right course must be to allow Mr. Donovan time to answer the very serious allegations that have been made. I want to know what the mechanics are going to be for giving Mr. Donovan sufficient time to do that, but without unduly delaying the further conduct of this trial.	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give [13] judgment which is unfavourable to one or other or both. [14] I would hate this to happen, I would hate them to be [15] taken by surprise. I have already given that message to [16] you, both of you and I would now say it again for the [17] benefit of your clients, but this is an unpleasant case [18] where everybody will come out the poorer. That is the [19] most likely outcome. I will leave it until 2 o'clock [20] and if you could then give me an indication of how long [21] you need. [22] MR COX: I will my Lord. [23] (The luncheon adjournment).
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised and I can understand why he says he was surprised. All I am saying, Mr. Hobbs, is whether you do it or Mr. Cox's client does it, I want to know what the position is with Sainsbury's at the time when this case returns to be resumed. What I really want to know is that I am sure that the right course must be to allow Mr. Donovan time to answer the very serious allegations that have been made. I want to know what the mechanics are going to be for giving Mr. Donovan sufficient time to do that, but without unduly delaying the further conduct of this trial. MR HOBES: I understand that.	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give [13] judgment which is unfavourable to one or other or both. [14] I would hate this to happen, I would hate them to be [15] taken by surprise. I have already given that message to [16] you, both of you and I would now say it again for the [17] benefit of your clients, but this is an unpleasant case [18] where everybody will come out the poorer. That is the [19] most likely outcome. I will leave it until 2 o'clock [20] and if you could then give me an indication of how long [21] you need. [22] MR COX: I will my Lord. [23] (The luncheon adjournment). [24] (2.00pm).
	whether anybody had made any approach to Sainsbury's. If we are going to adjourn, whether Mr. Cox or if Mr. Cox's client decides not to approach Sainsbury's, there would be nothing to prevent you subpoena- ing, so far as it is possible, whether Sainsbury's has a copy of the letter which they were allegedly sent. MR HOBBS: I made an objection the other day MR JUSTICE LADDIE: That does not matter. That was part and parcel of the attack on the authenticity of the document. Mr. Cox says he surprised and I can understand why he says he was surprised. All I am saying, Mr. Hobbs, is whether you do it or Mr. Cox's client does it, I want to know what the position is with Sainsbury's at the time when this case returns to be resumed. What I really want to know is that I am sure that the right course must be to allow Mr. Donovan time to answer the very serious allegations that have been made. I want to know what the mechanics are going to be for giving Mr. Donovan sufficient time to do that, but without unduly delaying the further conduct of this trial.	 [2] today makes my disappointment all the greater. I am [3] still convinced that there are unlikely to be any [4] outright winners of this litigation. It is possible [5] that there will be an outright winner, but financially [6] and otherwise I can see there being a real prospect of [7] everybody being harmed by it and that the animosity [8] which has grown up between the parties made it almost [9] impossible to contemplate licking their respective [10] wounds and sorting this out for the future. [11] I would hate the parties, as they are now in [12] court, to go away and later to weep tears when I give [13] judgment which is unfavourable to one or other or both. [14] I would hate this to happen, I would hate them to be [15] taken by surprise. I have already given that message to [16] you, both of you and I would now say it again for the [17] benefit of your clients, but this is an unpleasant case [18] where everybody will come out the poorer. That is the [19] most likely outcome. I will leave it until 2 o'clock [20] and if you could then give me an indication of how long [21] you need. [22] MR COX: I will my Lord. [23] (The luncheon adjournment).

Smith Bernal Rep.(0171-404 1400)

Min-U-Script®